PHL 310: Knowledge and Reality
Spring 2010

Professor: Sinan Dogramaci
Email: sinan.dogramaci@gmail.com
Office Hours: anytime, by appt.

Grader: Travis Hobbs
Email: twhobbs@mail.utexas.edu
Office Hours: Wednesday, 3:30 - 5:30pm

Course Information:
The first half of the course will focus on the ‘reality’ half of our title. First, we’ll ask about the nature of time, and address one famous argument for the paradoxical conclusion that time is not real. Then, we’ll discuss the nature of our selves. Are we just our bodies, or could a person survive the destruction of her body? Next, we’ll explore a debate about the reality of morality. Are moral facts part of the fabric of reality just as much as scientific facts are?

At this point, we’ll start a gradual transition from questions about reality to questions about knowledge. We’ll turn to the topic of god. Does the elegant design of the world we see around us constitute a compelling argument in favor of god’s existence? If the argument is not compelling, is belief still permissible?

Our final two topics will concern radical skepticism about our knowledge of the world. First, we will examine the classic philosophical argument that we cannot really know whether there is an external material world containing rocks, trees, tables and chairs. And second, we’ll examine the argument that we cannot, on the basis of past experience, draw any conclusions about the future. We’ll evaluate the power of both kinds of skeptical argument, and we’ll study some standard replies to each.

Readings:
All readings will be made available as PDFs on the course blackboard site, or else as links to websites. Make sure you have access to our blackboard site; email me if you do not.
(Sad to say, most of the readings are by white men. Philosophy needs a more diverse group of participants. The trends are only just starting to improve, and many of you can help with that!)
Assignments and Grading:
Students will submit three pieces of writing for grading. The first assignment will not be a conventional paper; it will be an argument analysis and commentary. (I'll explain this more when we get to it.) The second and third assignments will be conventional papers, 5 - 6 double-spaced pages each. These three items will be the only graded material for this class (but not the only factor affecting your final grade; read on). Pluses and minuses will be used.

Your work will be graded *blindly*. In other words, you will not write your name anywhere on your work, you'll only write an identification number, and our grader will read and grade it without knowing who wrote it.

Before submitting the two papers for grading, we will hold a class meeting dedicated to reading first drafts of each others’ papers. These first drafts will not be officially graded; they are only meant to help you prepare your final drafts. However, attendance at both these peer review sessions is absolutely mandatory for all students. IF YOU MISS A PEER REVIEW SESSION WITHOUT AN EXTREMELY GOOD EXCUSE, YOUR GRADE FOR THE COURSE WILL BE REDUCED A THIRD OF A LETTER.

Class Participation:
Class participation is *extremely welcome*. Ask questions and share your thoughts. Never worry that your question sounds dumb, because (a) it won’t affect your grade, and more importantly (b) it’s almost certainly not a dumb question.

If your final grade from the papers is near a borderline, then it can be adjusted up or down. Multiple unexcused absences from lecture will put it below the borderline. A dramatically upward progress of grades on the three papers can put it above the borderline.

Late Work:
Any work handed in after the start of the class when it is due will be docked one-third of a letter grade. An additional one-third of a letter will be docked every 24 hours after that. Medical excuses for late work require written notice from your doctor to avoid docking.

Plagiarism:
Don’t plagiarize! This course will have a zero tolerance policy for plagiarism.
Plagiarism happens whenever your work includes words or ideas that come from someone else, and you do not explicitly say what is coming from someone else (e.g. like I do with my footnote to the heading above). **Students are caught plagiarizing all the time.** If you plagiarize in this course, it will be caught, you will automatically fail the course, and you will be reported to the dean.

**Disabilities:**
“Students with disabilities may request appropriate academic accommodations from the Division of Diversity and Community Engagement, Services for Students with Disabilities, 471-6259.” (quoted from a memo from Vice Provost Ritter.)

**Course Schedule:**

**Week 1**
*Tuesday, Jan 19*
no reading

*Thursday, Jan 21*
Sider, ‘Time’
Pryor, ‘How to Read A Philosophy Paper’ ([http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/reading.html](http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/reading.html))

**Week 2**
*Tuesday, Jan 26*
Van Inwagen, ‘Temporality’ (pp.71-81)

*Thursday, Jan 28*
McTaggart, ‘Time’
C.D. Broad, ‘Examination of McTaggart’s Philosophy’
Van Inwagen continued (pp.81-7)

**Topic: The Reality of the Self**

**Week 3**
*Tuesday, Feb 2*
Williams, ‘The Self and the Future’
Thursday, Feb 4
Parfit, ‘Personal Identity’

  _TOPIC: THE REALITY OF MORAIS

Week 4
Tuesday, Feb 9
Harman, ‘Ethics and Observation’

Thursday, Feb 11
Sturgeon, ‘Moral Explanations’

   1ST ASSIGNMENT, ARGUMENT ANALYSIS, DISTRIBUTED TODAY
   Look at Pryor’s advice on writing a philosophy paper:
   http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/writing.html

Week 5:
Tuesday, Feb 16 and Thursday, Feb 18
Van Inwagen, ‘How to Think About the Problem of Free Will’

   TOPIC: GOD AND DESIGN

Week 6
Tuesday and Thursday, Feb 23 and 25
Hume’s Dialogues, parts 1, 2 and 3; http://www.earlymoderntexts.com/hd.html
   ARGUMENT ANALYSIS DUE TUESDAY, FEB 23rd, 3:30PM

Week 7
Tuesday and Thursday, March 2 and 4
Hume’s Dialogues, parts 4 and 5; http://www.earlymoderntexts.com/hd.html

Week 8
Tuesday, March 9
Collins, ‘God, Design and Fine-Tuning’

Thursday, March 11
Dawkins, ch. 4 of The God Delusion
   1ST PAPER TOPICS DISTRIBUTED
   Reread Pryor’s advice on writing a philosophy paper:
   http://www.jimpryor.net/teaching/guidelines/writing.html
Week 9
Tuesday, March 16 and Thursday, March 18

Spring Break; no class

Topic: Skepticism about Our Knowledge of the External World

Week 10
Tuesday, March 23
Peer Review Session

Thursday, March 25
Descartes, Meditations on First Philosophy (First Meditation: On What Can Be Called into Doubt)
Klein ‘Academic Skepticism’; http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/skepticism/#3

Week 11
Tuesday, March 30
Moore, ‘Proof of an External World’
1ST PAPER DUE TODAY

Thursday, April 1
Pryor, ‘What’s Wrong with Moore’s Argument?’

Week 12
Tuesday, April 6
Vogel, ‘Cartesian Skepticism and Inference to the Best Explanation’

Thursday, April 8

Topic: Skepticism about Our Knowledge of the Future

Week 13
Tuesday, April 13
Hume, sections 4 and 5 of An Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding; http://www.earlymoderntexts.com/pdfbits/he1.pdf

Thursday, April 15
Goodman, ‘The New Riddle of Induction’
Week 14
Tuesday, April 20
Reichenbach, ‘The Pragmatic Justification of Induction’

Thursday, April 22
Van Cleve, ‘Reliability, Justification, and the Problem of Induction’

Week 15
Tuesday, April 27
DeRose, ‘Contextualism: An Explanation and Defense’
Cohen, ‘Contextualism and Skepticism’

Thursday, April 29
Conee, ‘Contextualism Contested’

2ND PAPER TOPICS DISTRIBUTED. This assignment will address both the last two topics on skepticism about knowledge. Don’t miss class!

Week 16
Tuesday, May 4
Peer Review Session

Thursday, May 6
(buffer)

2ND PAPER DUE TUESDAY, MAY 11TH, BY EMAIL BEFORE 3:30PM